

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/981.751

Applicant(s)

Liane Breton

Examiner

Vickie Kim

Group Art Unit

1614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vickie Kim (Examiner)

(3)

(2) Jennifer A. Topmiller (Applicant Rep.)

(4)

Date of Interview

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending

Best Available Copy

Identification of prior art discussed:

Lee et al (US 5965618)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant emphasizes that the candidate who needs the treatment is different in the instant application from Lee's patent.

However, the instant claims are directed to the treatment of loosening/relaxing skin tissue that is not different from Lee's patent.

Thus, the instant claims should be amended to the way that recognizes the difference (e.g. muscle decontraction/relaxation in specific tissue) into the claimed

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

continued: Both parties agreed on other 112, & Double patenting issues where claim modification could overcome these rejections as we discuss

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.